UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/720,669   | 11/25/2003  | Uma Kant Singh       | 34874-281           | 6260             |
| 64280 7590 12/24/2008<br>MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY & POPEO, P.C.<br>ONE FINANCIAL CENTER |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|  |             |                      | RECEK, JASON D      |                  |
| BOSTON, MA 02111   |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |             |                      | 2442                |                  |
|  |             |                      |                     |                  |
|  |             |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|  |             |                      | 12/24/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|
|  | 10/720,669  | SINGH ET AL.   |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |
|  | JASON RECEK   | 2442   |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap<br>Period for Reply  | ppears on the cover sheet with the  | correspondence address   |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IF Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDON | DN.<br>timely filed<br>m the mailing date of this communication.<br>NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |
| Status   |   |  |  |  |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30.  2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Th  3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under  | is action is non-final.<br>ance except for formal matters, p  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |  |  |
| 4)  Claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr. 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/  | awn from consideration.   |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examir  | oor   |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to a drawing and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination and the second se | ecepted or b) objected to by the<br>e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S<br>ection is required if the drawing(s) is c  | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).                                    |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |   |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | 4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:   |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/720,669 Page 2

Art Unit: 2442

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This is in response to the amendment filed on August 11th 2008.

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/11/08 has been entered.

### Status of Claims

Claims 1-19 have been cancelled by applicant's amendment.

Claim 20 is pending and is in independent form.

Claim 20 is currently rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejection of claim 20 under 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Specifically, the argument that Crozier fails to disclose that mapping information is associated with a user (pg. 5) is found persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further

Application/Control Number: 10/720,669 Page 3

Art Unit: 2442

consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Creswell et al. US 6,445,783 B1. Creswell discloses a system that processes messages based on specific user information (user identifier) retrieved from a database (col. 8 ln. 1-16). See below for a detailed rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Multer et al. US 6,694,336 B1 in view of Creswell et al. US 6,445,783 B1.

Regarding claim 20, Multer discloses "A system for synchronizing data objects for a user between a primary platform and a plurality of auxiliary platforms comprising," as "a system [...] for transferring data between two devices which require information to be shared between them," (col. 4, line 65) and states more specifically that the intention is to synchronize information between multiple computing systems (col. 5, line 1-2, 26-28). Multer discloses "a memory" and "processing means, coupled to the memory, to execute at least one computer program" as components of a device to be synchronized (col. 5, line 6) where the system "comprises a set of programs specifically designed to

Application/Control Number: 10/720,669

Art Unit: 2442

transmit and/or receive differencing data from one device to another device" (col. 5, line 17). Multer discloses "creating a set of generic messages identifying changes to the data objects on the primary platform since a previous synchronization" as a set of selfdescribing synchronization transactions (col. 12, line 10) that identify changes, i.e. what has been added, deleted, and/or modified (col. 17, line 46), to the data on the first system when compared to the data it knows the and system contains (col. 6, line 8). The vendor-specific application data is converted to a generic or universal format before changes are calculated and transactions are logged (col. 17, line 37). Multer discloses "converting the generic messages to adapted messages corresponding to each of the auxiliary platforms" as the conversion of the extracted changes into "difference information A" which contains the changes and implementation instructions for the second platform (col. 5, line 60). Multer discloses "sending the adapted messages from the primary platform to the corresponding auxiliary platforms" as the next step in the process, which is to transmit the difference information to the second system (col. 6, line 29). Multer discloses "converting the adapted messages to generic messages on each of the auxiliary platforms" as the step in which the difference information, having been received by the second system, is interpreted and its data is reconstructed on the second system (col. 6, line 13). Multer discloses "updating the data objects on the respective auxiliary platforms using the generic messages converted from the adapted messages" as the step in which the second system uses the reconstructed data from the first system to update its own data (col. 6, line 3).

Page 4

Art Unit: 2442

Multer does not explicitly disclose "accessing a database to obtain a user identifier, the user identifier being associated with the user and linking to two or more device identifiers, the device identifiers identifying the plurality of auxiliary platforms" however this is taught by Creswell as a system that accesses a database to determine the source of communication (user identifier) and then uses this information (identifier) to identify the device associated with the user. Creswell also teaches multiple user devices (col. 1 ln. 35-45, Fig. 5, col. 3 ln. 45-50). Thus Crozier discloses a user identifier being associated with the user and linking devices.

Multer does not explicitly disclose "converting the generic messages ... based on the obtained user identifier and the linked device identifiers" however this is also taught by Creswell as using the user identifier information obtained from the database to perform specialized processing of messages (col. 1 ln. 49-59).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Multer to use the specific user identifier taught by Creswell for the purpose of specialized processing. A user's or device's settings may be stored in a database and then automatically retrieved (as taught by Creswell), this would lead to quicker converting of messages for that specific user or device. Creswell suggests multiple advantages can be obtained using this automatic specialized processing. One of which is to provide greater versatility in communications processing (col. 7 In. 65-67).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JASON RECEK whose telephone number is (571)270-1975. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thurs 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Caldwell can be reached on (571) 272-3868. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Andrew Caldwell/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2442

/Jason Recek/ Examiner, Art Unit 2442

(571)-270-1975

Application/Control Number: 10/720,669

Page 7

Art Unit: 2442